UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/584,861	06/28/2006	Robert Skog	3995-50	7820
23117 NIXON & VAN	7590 05/26/201 NDERHYE. PC	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR			CATTUNGAL, AJAY P	
ARLINGTON, VA 22203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2467	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/26/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Notice of Panel Decision</b>
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
10/584,861	SKOG ET AL.  Art Unit	_
AJAY P. CATTUNGAL	2467	
	<del>-</del>	

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Review filed 31 March 2011.
<ol> <li>Improper Request – The Freason(s):</li> </ol>	request is improper and a conference will not be held for the following
☐ The request does not inc	not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. ude reasons why a review is appropriate. s included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.
	se continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from mmunication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains units required to submit an appeal borief will be reset to be one mont running from the receipt of the no	<b>Appeals and Interferences</b> – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been ler appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant ief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal a from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period tice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the 7 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date ble.
The panel has determin Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from co	ed the status of the claim(s) is as follows: sideration:
	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of ution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by
	onference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action is required by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) <u>AJAY P. CATTUNGAL</u> .	(3)
(2) <u>Hassan Phillips</u> .	(4)
/A. P. C./ Examiner, Art Unit 2467	/HASSAN PHILLIPS/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2467